

























































































27 October 2004











		*
Company	Beta	
FIAT	1.25	
Tiscali	2.41	Betas of some
Telecom Italia	1.21	Italian companies in October 2004
ENEL	0.92	
AISoftw@re	1.30	
e.Biscom SpA	1.92	

]	Company	Beta	Betas of some
	Amazon.com	2.228	IT companies in April 2004
	Sun Microsystems	2.677	
	Lucent	2.863	
•	SAP	2.549	Notice how high
	Peoplesoft	2.414	they are!
	Computer Associates	2.309	

\$	The Contribution of the CAPM	
	The CAPM was developed in the mid-1960s by William Sharp John Lintner, and Jack Traynor	e,
	The Capital Asset Pricing Model answered the questions abor risk and return in some concrete ways	ut
	Q: Which kinds of risk should be rewarded and which not? A: Investors do not expect to be rewarded for unique risk A: Investors do expect to be rewarded for systematic/market risk	
	Q: How much should the reward be?	
	It works (more or less) in practice	
	$\diamond$ The correlation between risk and reward over the past decades has been reasonably close to that predicted by the CAPM	
	Above all, the CAPM has become the standard for all researc and practice in the area of risk and return	h
27 October 2004	Slide 60 Fundamentals of Valuation ©	J. Favaro 2004



Stock	Beta	Expected	Return
AT&T	0.65	10.7%	
Bristol-Myers Squibb	0.95	13.1%	Betas in
Coca-Cola	0.98	13.3%	July 1998
Compaq	1.13	14.5%	from a
Exxon	0.73	11.3%	<u>variety</u> of industries.
General Electric	1.29	15.8%	from
McDonald's	0.95	13.1%	telecom to
Microsoft	1.26	15.6%	consumer
Reebok	0.87	12.5%	goods
Xerox	1.05	13.9%	





























Te	sting the	Time	-Sens	<b>itive</b>	<b>ROI F</b>	ormula
First let's	try the new fo	ormula oi	n the ori	ginal pro	oject:	
	Period	0	1	2	Totals	l
	Benefits	0	\$300	\$500	\$800	
	Costs	\$400	\$200	\$100	\$700	
	0 = NPV = -2	$8400 + \frac{3}{2}$	300-\$2	$\frac{00}{4}$ $\pm \frac{$50}{50}$	00 - \$100	
Now try the	0 = NPV = -3			,	,	₩ ROI = 13%
Now try the				,	,	™
Now try the	e formula on ti	he other	version	of the p	roject:	■=>ROI = 13%
Now try the	e formula on ti <b>Period</b>	he other 0	version 1	of the p. 2	roject: <b>Totals</b>	■=>ROI = 13%
Now try the	e formula on ta Period Benefits Costs	he other 0 \$400	version 1 \$500 \$200	of the p 2 \$300 \$100	roject: <b>Totals</b> \$800 \$700	ROI = 13%

Neede	d: a Time-Sensitive ROI Calo	culation
Clearly w	e must find a way to take our basic ROI fo	ormula
	$0 = \text{NPV} = C_0 + \frac{B}{1 + \text{ROI}}$	
	e it sensitive to the order in which benefit account for the time value of money some	
What about	t just extending the basic formula in a "dis	counting" style
0 = 1	NPV = $C_0 + \frac{B_1}{1 + \text{ROI}} + \frac{B_2}{(1 + \text{ROI})}$	$\frac{1}{2}$ +
where B	<sub>i</sub> are the net benefits in each period? Wou	Ild that work?
27 October 2004	Slide 78 Fundamentals of Valuation	© J. Favaro 20













				(calculated than Project	
Period	0	1	2	ROI (IRR)	NPV at 10%
Hare	-500	400	800	73%	\$525
Tortoise	-500	150	etc.	30%	\$1000
-	ect Hare ha ple terms, <u>it</u>				







A	A Set of	f Four F	Project	ts	
Goliath	Four projec	cts, in va	rious siz	zes	David Sr.
	Project	CF0	CF1	CF2	and the
	Goliath	-500	400	800	
	Goliath Jr.	-400	300	500	-
6	David Sr.	-200	200	300	
	David	-100	150	350	
liath Jr.	Which c	ones sho	uld we t	ake?	David
er 2004	Fund	Slide 90 Jamentals of Valu	ation		© J. Favan

				Projects	
Project	CF0	CF1	CF2	NPV at 10%	
Goliath	-500	400	800	+525	)
Goliath Jr.	-400	300	500	+286	All have
David Sr.	-200	200	300	+230	≻ positive NPV
David	-100	150	350	+326	
Totals	-1200	1050	1950	+1366	-
Total investm	nent			To	tal NPV
				sitive NPV, it n, with a total V of 1366	





Ranking Projects							
"Getting the bigge involves ranking"	est bang for the buck" projects						
<ul> <li>We have already unreliable for rank</li> <li>Doesn't capture</li> </ul>	king projects						
❑ The profitability m closest is the pro	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	ranking projects					
Variation: benefit		NPV					
Calculates NPV	per unit of investment	Unit of Investment					
October 2004	Slide 95 Fundamentals of Valuation	© J. Favaro 2004					

The Profitability Index								
			-	ojects are mosi	-			
Project	CF0	CF1	CF2	NPV at 10%	Profitability Index			
Goliath	-500	400	800	+525	1.05			
Goliath Jr.	-400	300	500	+286	0.71			
David Sr.	-200	200	300	+230	1.15			
David	-100	150	350	+326	3.26			
Totals	-1200	1050	1950	+1366				
			e most prof / <mark>David Sr</mark> .	itable project				
	Fo	ollowed by	/ Goliath					
	Fo	ollowed by	/ Goliath J	r.				
			Slide 96					

<b>&gt;</b>		<b>6</b> 14 1 11			
Using	the Pro	oritabili	ity inde	x to Selec	t Projects
Rule: take ti	ne most pro	fitable pro	ojects until	the budget of	700 is exhausted
Project	CF0	CF1	CF2	NPV at 10%	Profitability Index
Goliath	-500	400	800	+525	1.05
Goliath Jr.	-400	300	500	+286	0.71
David Sr.	-200	200	300	+230	1.15
David	-100	150	350	+326	3.26
Totals	-1200	1050	1950	+1366	
	Select Davi	d first, lea	aving 600 n	nore to invest	
	Then David	<mark>I Sr.</mark> leavi	ng 400 mo	re to invest	
;	Skip Goliat	h becaus	e it would p	out us over bud	lget
	Take Golia	th Jr. whi	ch exhaust	s the budget e	xactly
7 October 2004		Fund	Slide 97 Jamentals of Valua	ation	© J. Favaro 20







	Line	ear program	inear Pro	e simple d	r confusing,	
	,_ A	В	С	D	E	F
1	, Selected	Project	CF0	CF1	CF2	NPV
2	) 1	Goliath	-500	400	800	525
3	1	Goliath Jr.	-400	300	500	286
4	1	David Sr.	-200 í	200	300	230
5	1	David	-100	150	350	326
6	A	Totals	-1200	1050	1950	1366
Cre	ate a Selec These ter make usi			such a	i <b>ames</b> to ke s the initial e NPV of the	investment
Octob	er 2004		Slide 10 Fundamentals of			© J. Favaro

		Α	В	С	D	E	F	
	1	Selected	Project	CF0	CF1	CF2	NPV	
	2	1	Goliath	-500	400	800	525	
	3	1	Goliath Jr.	-400	300	500	286	
	4	1	David Sr.	-200	200	300	230	
	5	1	David	-100	150	350	326	
Given	6		Totals	-1200	1050	1950	1366	
	1			=SUMPRODUCT(-CF0, Selected)				
	8	- Budget	700					
	9	Cost	1200					
	10	Value	1366 —					
-	'he C		ПСТ () <i>f</i>			PV, Select		





© J. Favaro 2004

)	Selecting Partial Projects							The Entrepreneur's Planning Game
Suppo	se	you relax t A	the constra	aint that e	entire proj	ects musi	t be selected?	"Here, have another glass," said Bill, pulling the wine bottle out of the cooler ar wiping it off. "It's hard to find a good white in Italy, and <i>Terre dei Tufi</i> from San Gimignano is one of the more interesting."
	1	Selected	Project	CF0	CF1	CF2	NPV	
artial	H	0.8	Goliath	-500	400	800	525	"That's not true," said Greg. "You should take a closer look at what's coming of
oject	•	0	Goliath Jr.	-400	300	500	286	of Friuli." He picked up the sheet of paper they had been writing on. "But no thanks, we have to wrap up this year's planning session."
_	4	1	David Sr.	-200	200	300	230	
	5	1	David	-100	150	350	326	Greg and Bill, the proud owners of a small software outsourcing firm with 15
	6		Totals	-1200	1050	1950	1366	employees, were planning the year's activities.
	7							"Look here," said Bill. "We have a great set of projects we could work on, each
	8	Budget	700	Th	ree proje	cte are se	lected (one	them with good prospects - I already worked it out, they all have positive NPV
	9	Cost	700				ire budget,	But we only have a budget of \$500K this year, we can't do all of them."
	10	Value	975		d with a h	-		"Right," said Greg, "and in any case we wouldn't have enough manpower to do
		Realisti	ic? Someti			<u> </u>	·	all of them." How can Bill and Greg maximize NPV under these constraints?
r 2004			Fund	Slide 105 lamentals of V	aluation		© J. Favaro	Slide 106 27 October 2004 Fundamentals of Valuation © J.





## Fundamentals of Valuation



LP Sol	utic	on for `	Two C	Constr	aints	i	
		Α	В	С	D	E	
The real	1	Selected	Project	Persons	Cost	NPV	
advantage of	2	0	А	3	100	135	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	3		В	2	60	90	
setting up an LP	4	0	С	4	90	130	
solution is	5	0	D	2	50	70	
apparent when	6	0	E	5	200	270	
you begin varying	7	1	F	3	110	150	
the number of	8	0	G	4	90	100	
personnel and the	9	1	н	6	250	350	
amount of the	10	1	I	3	60	100	
	11	0	J	2	55	80	
budget as inputs,	12		Totals	34	1065	1475	
making a	13						
sensitivity analysis	14	Budget	500	Bud	lget and	l personnel	
of different	15	Personnel	15	con	straints respected		
combinations	16				_		
	17	Costs	480				
	18	Manpower	14 -				
	19	Value	690				























Fundamentals of Valuation





**Economic Profit is Alianed with NPV** 

□ It is important that any measure of profitability be aligned with the

□ It can be shown that Present Value can be expressed equivalently

Generally more convenient to

Slide 128

Fundamentals of Valuation

2. The invested capital plus a stream of future discounted Economic Profits

fundamental Present Value formula

use the Present Value formula

1. A stream of future discounted cash flows

either as

27 October 2004

(



Fundamentals of Valuation

© J. Favaro 2004

